

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NY

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | JING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/017,096 | 1: | 2/13/2001 | Kenji Aiyama | 83380.0001 | 6502 | |
| 26021 | 7590 | 06/17/2003 | | | • | |
| HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P. | | | | EXAMINER | | |
| 500 S. GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900 | | | | HAMDAN, W | HAMDAN, WASSEEM H | |
| LOS ANGEI | LES, CA | 90071-2611 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2854 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003 | DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Applicati n No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|------|
| | | | / |
| Offic Action Summary | 10/017,096 | AIYAMA, KENJI | |
| One Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Wasseem H Hamdan | h the corresp, indence address | |
| Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet wit | n are corresp macrice address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become AB | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u> </u> | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allows | ance except for formal mat | ters, prosecution as to the merits is | 3 |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | <i>Ex рапе Quayle</i> , 1935 С.L |), 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10,18-20 and 28-3</u> | 1 is/are withdrawn from co | nsideration. | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>11-17,21-27 and 32-35</u> is/are rejected | I . | • | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers | r election requirement. | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | r | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 13 December 2001 is/a | | iected to by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | • | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of: | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received. | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | s have been received in A | oplication No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | | | on). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | ovisional application has be | een received. | |
| Attachment(s) | · · | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of I | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| LS. Patent and Trademark Office | | | |

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II claims 11-17, 21-27 and 32-35 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 1-10, 18-20 and 28-31 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 11-13, 15, 16, 21-23, 25, 26 and 32-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Freedman (US Patent 4,839,829).

Regarding claims 11, 21 and 32, Freedman discloses a data processing apparatus, a method and computer program executable by a computer of a data processing apparatus capable of communicating with a plurality of image processing apparatuses connected through a network [FIG 1A, column 3, lines 49-54], comprising:

an analyzer [FIG. 1A (10), column 4, lines 25-26; column 12, lines 12-14] for analyzing a print job received through the network [FIG. 1A (22, 24, 44); column 3, lines 56-57];

Art Unit: 2854

a manager for managing cost data indicative of costs required for forming images [FIG. 1A (10); column 12, lines 19-42] by the image processing apparatuses; and

a selector [FIG. 1A (10); column 11, lines 61-67] for selecting one of the image processing apparatuses based on a result provided by the analyzer and the cost data managed by the manager [FIG. 1A (10); column 11, lines 11-16].

Regarding claims 12, 22 and 33, Freedman discloses further comprising a transmitter [FIG. 1A (10); column 3, lines 62-63; column 4, lines 1-2; column 4, lines 62-65; column 8, lines 15-20] for transmitting the print Job and an instruction to execute printing of the print Job to the image processing apparatus selected by the selector [FIG. 1A (10); column 11, lines 61-67].

Regarding claims 13 and 23, Freedman discloses the selector selects an image processing apparatus with the lowest cost [FIG. 1A (10); column 11, lines 12-16].

Regarding claims 15 and 25, Freedman discloses wherein the selector uses, as a condition for selecting an image processing apparatus, at least one of a size of paper, a type of paper, whether or not a two-sided printing is conducted, whether or not a binding process is conducted after printing, whether or not a stapling process is conducted after printing, a method of delivery after printing, and the number of prints, which are obtained from the print job by the analyzer [FIG. 1A; column 11, lines 64-68; column 12, lines 1-2 and 19-42].

Art Unit: 2854

Regarding claims 16 and 26, Freedman discloses wherein the selector uses, as a condition for selecting an image processing apparatus, at least one of the number of pages of images and the consumption amount of consumables, which are obtained from the print Job by the analyzer [FIG. 1A; column 1, lines 17-22; column 11, lines 64-68; column 12, lines 1-2 and 19-42].

Regarding claims 34 and 35, Freedman discloses a readable memory that stores the computer program [FIG. 1A (30)].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freedman (US Patent 4,839,829) as applied to claims 11-13, 15, 16, 21-23, 25, 26 and 32-35 above, and further in view of White Publication No. (US 2002/0063887 A1).

Regarding claims 14 and 24, Freedman discloses the essential elements of the claimed invention. However, Freedman does not disclose the print job includes data in portable document format (PDF). White discloses the print job includes data in portable document format (PDF) since the print job PDF format enhances the document and it is also a document cost factor [page 3, sections [0036 and 0039]]. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of

Art Unit: 2854

Freedman by including the print job includes data in portable document format (PDF), one having ordinary skill in the art would recognize from white that a portable document format (PDF) would be beneficial for the purpose of enhancing the document and identifying a cost of a print job (processing services) [White: page 3, sections [0036 and 0039]].

4. Claims 17 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freedman (US Patent 4,839,829) as applied to claim 11-13, 15, 16, 21-23, 25, 26 and 32-35 above, and further in view of Robinson et al. (US Patent 5,850,584).

Regarding claims 17 and 27, Freedman discloses the essential elements of the claimed invention. Freedman discloses wherein the selector uses, as a condition for selecting an image processing apparatus, a rate color image to be formed, which is analyzed by the analyzer [column 1, lines 17-22; column 2, lines 33-35; column 11, 122-26]. However, Freedman does not disclose a black and white image to be formed. Robinson et al. discloses a black and white image to be formed [FIG. 1; column 5, lines 16-19]. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the teachings of Freedman by including a white image to be formed, one having ordinary skill in the art would recognize from Robinson et al. that a black and white image to be formed would be beneficial for the purpose of having greater image density which can greatly affect the reproducing image quality [Robinson et al.: column 5, lines 25-27] and also in some case it is a cost factor.

Art Unit: 2854

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wasseem H Hamdan whose telephone number is (703) 305-3968. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (first Friday off) 6:30 AM- 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Andrew H Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

Wasseem H. Hamdan

May 30, 2003

ANDREW H. HIRSHFÉLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800